1	BEFORE THE						
2	ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION						
3	PUBLIC UTILITY SPECIAL OPEN MEETING						
4	Tuesday, January 21, 2020						
5	Chicago, Illinois						
6							
7	Met pursuant to notice at 9:30 a.m. at 160						
8	North LaSalle Street, Chicago, Illinois.						
9							
10	PRESENT:						
11	CARRIE ZALEWSKI, Chairman						
12	BRIEN J. SHEAHAN, Commissioner						
13	SADZI M. OLIVA, Commissioner						
14	MARIA S. BOCANEGRA, Commissioner						
15	D. ETHAN KIMBREL, Commissioner						
16							
17							
18							
19							
20	SULLIVAN REPORTING COMPANY						
21	BY: JO ANN KROLICKI, CSR License No. 084-002215						
22							

CHAIRMAN ZALEWSKI: Good morning. I have 1 2 9:30 on my watch. 3 Are we ready to proceed in 4 Springfield? 5 THE CHIEF CLERK: Yes, we are. CHAIRMAN ZALEWSKI: Thank you. 6 7 Under the Open Meetings Act, I call the January 21st, 2020, Special Open Meeting to 8 9 order. Commissioners Bocanegra, Oliva, 10 Kimbrel, and Sheahan are with me in Chicago. We have 11 12 a quorum. 13 We have no requests to speak. Moving on to our Public Utilities 14 15 Agenda. 16 There are edits to the December 19, 17 2019, Special Open Meeting Minutes. 18 Are there any objections to approving the Minutes as edited? 19 20 (No response.) 21 CHAIRMAN ZALEWSKI: Hearing none, the Minutes are approved. 22

Under Electric Items: 1 2 Item E-1 concerns ERM 19-164, which 3 is ComEd's filing to cancel Rider Energy Efficiency 4 Demand Response Balancing Adjustment Mechanism or 5 Rider EBAM. ComEd has completed all adjustments to retail customer bills for any over or under 6 7 collections under this Rider. The Commission Staff 8 recommends to not suspend the filings. 9 Are there any objections to not suspending the filing? 10 11 (No response.) 12 CHAIRMAN ZALEWSKI: Hearing none, the 13 filing is not suspended. 14 Items E-2 through E-21 concern Orders 15 initiating citation proceedings against retail electricity supply brokers, agents, or consultants 16 17 to determine whether to suspend licenses for violation of Commission rules. The Orders initiate 18 19 the citation proceedings due to failure to file 20 compliance reports, to maintain a license bond, or to 21 maintain authority to transact business in Illinois. 22 The Orders direct licensees to appear before the

Administrative Law Judge to show cause why the 1 Commission should not suspend the license. 2 3 Are there any objections to 4 considering these items together and approving the Orders? 5 6 (No response.) 7 CHAIRMAN ZALEWSKI: Hearing none, the 8 Orders are approved. 9 So moving on to Item E-22, which concerns the Mt. Carmel Public Utility's 2018 10 11 Reliability Performance Report under Section 411 of 12 the Commission's Rules. The Order adopts the 13 Commission's Safety and Reliability Division's 14 assessment of Mt. Carmel's Annual Reliability Report and Reliability Performance for 2018. 15 Are there any objections to approving 16 the Order? 17 18 (No response.) 19 CHAIRMAN ZALEWSKI: Hearing none, the Order 20 is approved. 21 Items E-23 through E-27 concern 22 applications for Certifications to install

distributed generation facilities in Illinois. The 1 2 Orders grant the Certificates, finding that the 3 applicants meet the requirements. 4 Are there any objections to 5 considering these items together and approving the Orders? 6 7 (No response.) 8 CHAIRMAN ZALEWSKI: Hearing none, the Orders are approved. 9 10 Item E-28 concerns a denial of 11 application for Certification to install distributed 12 generation facilities in Illinois. The Order denies 13 the application, finding that the applicant failed to 14 amend the application as necessary to complete the application process. 15 16 Are there any objections to approving the Order? 17 18 (No response.) 19 CHAIRMAN ZALEWSKI: Hearing none, the Order 20 is approved. 21 Item E-29 concerns a Complaint 22 against Ameren regarding issues associated with an

easement on Complainant's property related to the 1 electric transmission line. 2 3 The Commission makes two rulings on 4 this item. 5 First, the Complainant has filed a request for Oral Argument. The Commission finds that 6 7 the issues discussed in this proceeding have been 8 thoroughly and adequately addressed, and the request 9 for Oral Argument should be denied. 10 Are there any objections to denying 11 the request for Oral Argument? 12 (No response.) 13 CHAIRMAN ZALEWSKI: Hearing none, the 14 request is denied. 15 Second, Ameren has filed a Motion to Dismiss for lack of jurisdiction. The Commission 16 17 Order grants Ameren's Motion to Dismiss finding that the Commission does not have the authority to decide 18 19 easement disputes of this nature. The Order notes 20 that the Commission only has jurisdiction over 21 matters granted to it by the legislature. The 22 Commission does not have jurisdiction over a dispute

between private parties concerning the terms of an 1 2 easement created pursuant to the contract. A court 3 of general jurisdiction might be a proper venue to make this claim. 4 5 Are there any objections to granting the Motion to Dismiss? 6 7 (No response.) 8 CHAIRMAN ZALEWSKI: Hearing none, the 9 Motion to Dismiss is granted. 10 Item E-30 concerns Docket 19-0940, 11 which is a Complaint against ComEd regarding a 12 wrongful service termination and refusal of new 13 service. The parties have filed a Stipulation and a 14 Joint Motion to Dismiss with prejudice, stating that the matters in dispute have been resolved. 15 16 Are there any objections to granting 17 the Motion to Dismiss? 18 (No response.) 19 CHAIRMAN ZALEWSKI: Hearing none, the 20 Motion is granted. 21 Item E-31 concerns MidAmerican's 22 request to reconcile revenues under its Rider

Purchased Power Zero Emission or Rider PZE from June 1 2 2018 to May 2019. The Order approves the 3 reconciliation as set in the Appendix to the Order, 4 finding that the costs during the reconciliation 5 period were prudently incurred. Are there any objections to approving 6 7 the Order? 8 (No response.) 9 CHAIRMAN ZALEWSKI: Hearing none, the Order 10 is approved. Item E-32 concerns Docket 19-0944, 11 12 which is the Illinois Department of 13 Transportation's petition for approval to 14 acquire property owned by ComEd by means of eminent domain. 15 16 IDOT seeks a permanent easement on 17 ComEd's property for a highway safety project. 18 Although ComEd agrees that IDOT can acquire the 19 Subject Property, the parties have been unable to 20 resolve a disagreement on all the documents needed to 21 conclude a voluntary transfer of title. The 22 Commission's approval of this Order will allow IDOT

to acquire property by filing an eminent domain case 1 against ComEd in the Circuit Court of Cook County. 2 3 The parties, however, advised the Administrative Law 4 Judge that there are no contested issues in this 5 docket and that an Order by the Commission approving the taking of ComEd's property may be entered by 6 7 agreement. 8 Are there any objections to approving 9 the Order? 10 (No response.) CHAIRMAN ZALEWSKI: Hearing none, the Order 11 12 is approved. 13 Item E-33 concerns Docket 19-0945, 14 which is ComEd's application for authority to incur 15 debt pursuant to Section 6-102 of the Public Utilities Act. The Order grants the authority and 16 17 directs ComEd to pay a fee pursuant to Section 6-108 of the Act. 18 19 Are there any objections to approving 20 the Order? 21 (No response.) 22 CHAIRMAN ZALEWSKI: Hearing none, the Order

1 is approved.

Item E-34 concerns Docket 19-0946, 2 3 which is ComEd's petition to engage in transactions with affiliated interest. The affiliated interest 4 will assist with ComEd's issuance of debt in Docket 5 19-0945. The Order grants the request. 6 7 Are there any objections to approving the Order? 8 9 (No response.) CHAIRMAN ZALEWSKI: Hearing none, the Order 10 11 is approved. 12 Item E-35 concerns an application for a license to operate as a Retail Electric Agent, 13 Broker, and Consultant in Illinois. The Order grants 14 the license, finding that the applicant meets the 15 16 requirements. 17 Are there any objections to approving the Order? 18 19 (No response.) 20 CHAIRMAN ZALEWSKI: Hearing none, the Order 21 is approved. 22 Items E-36 through E-39 concern

requests for proprietary treatment of information in 1 the Environmental Disclosure Reports of Alternative 2 3 Electric Suppliers. The Orders grant the protection, finding that the information is highly proprietary 4 and confidential. 5 Are there any objections to 6 7 considering these items together and approving the Orders? 8 9 (No response.) 10 CHAIRMAN ZALEWSKI: Hearing none, the 11 Orders are approved. 12 Item E-40 concerns a dismissal of an 13 application for authority to install Energy 14 Efficiency Measures due to the applicant's decision to withdraw the application. 15 16 Are there any objections to approving the Order? 17 18 (No response.) 19 CHAIRMAN ZALEWSKI: Hearing none, the Order 20 is approved. 21 Items E-41 through E-43 concern 22 denials of applications for authority to install

Energy Efficiency Measures. The Orders deny the 1 2 Certifications, finding that the applicants did not 3 meet the requirements. 4 Are there any objections to 5 considering these items together and approving the 6 Orders? 7 (No response.) 8 CHAIRMAN ZALEWSKI: Hearing none, the Orders are approved. 9 10 Items E-44 through E-59 concern 11 application for authority to install Energy 12 Efficiency Measures. The Orders grant the 13 Certificates, finding that the applicants meet the 14 requirements. 15 Are there any objections to 16 considering these items together and approving the Orders? 17 18 (No response.) 19 CHAIRMAN ZALEWSKI: Hearing none, the 20 Orders are approved. 21 Under our Gas Items. 22 Item G-1 concerns Docket 19-0788,

which is Ameren's request to reconcile revenues under 1 2 Rider VITA or Variable Income Tax Adjustment during 3 2018. The Order approves the reconciliation as set 4 in the Appendix to the Order, finding that the costs 5 during the reconciliation period were prudently incurred. 6 7 Are there any objections to approving the Order? 8 9 (No response.) 10 CHAIRMAN ZALEWSKI: Hearing none, the Order 11 is approved. 12 Item G-2 concerns Docket 19-1105, 13 which is Nicor Gas' Petition for Special Permission 14 to approve revised tariffs allowing large gas 15 customers to continue voluntary participation in Energy Efficiency Programming. The tariff 16 modifications are to Nicor Gas' Rider 30, which 17 concerns its Energy Efficiency Cost Recovery Plan. 18 19 The special permission would allow Nicor to file the 20 tariff on less than 45 days' notice and without 21 suspension.

Staff has reviewed the filing and

22

recommends denying the special permission and 1 2 initiating an investigation into the tariff filing. 3 The Citizens Utility Board has also filed a 4 letter requesting the investigation. The Order denies the special permission and initiates the 5 investigation. 6 7 Are there any objections to approving the Order? 8 9 (No response.) CHAIRMAN ZALEWSKI: Hearing none, the Order 10 11 is approved. 12 Under our Telecommunications Items. 13 Item T-1 and T-2 concern petitions 14 for voluntary cancellation of Certificates of Service 15 Authority. The Orders cancel the applicants' certificates to provide interexchange 16 telecommunications services and find that the 17 cancellations will not deprive any Illinois customers 18 19 of necessary telecommunications service. 20 Are there any objections to 21 considering these items together and approving the Orders? 22

1 (No response.) 2 CHAIRMAN ZALEWSKI: Hearing none, the 3 Orders are approved. 4 Item T-3 concerns a petition to 5 protect highly proprietary information from disclosure in the Petitioner's Annual Report to the 6 7 Commission. The Order protects the proprietary information from disclosure. 8 9 Are there any objections to approving the Order? 10 11 (No response.) 12 CHAIRMAN ZALEWSKI: Hearing none, the Order 13 is approved. There are no Water and Sewer Items. 14 15 We're going to move on to our Miscellaneous Items. 16 17 Item M-1 concerns Docket 19-0673, 18 which is Dakota Access and Energy Transfer's Joint 19 Petition to install additional pumping stations 20 and pumping facilities on existing certified 21 pipelines. 22 Sierra Club and Save Our Illinois

Land, or SOIL, petitioned the Commission to review 1 2 the denial of their motion to require Joint 3 Petitioners to respond to certain data requests 4 concerning the identity of shippers and affiliates 5 and documentation demonstrating the need for additional throughput capacity on Joint Petitioner's 6 7 pipelines. There are four related requests filed by 8 Sierra Club and SOIL that are now pending before the 9 Commission. 10 First, the initial December 9, 2019, 11 Petition For Interlocutory Review against the 12 Administrative Law Judge's December 5, 2019, ruling that denied SOIL and Sierra Club's Motion to 13 14 Compel; 15 Second, on December 13, 2019, SOIL and Sierra Club also filed a Verified Motion For 16 17 Leave to Supplement Petition for Interlocutory Review; 18 19 Third, on December 31, 2019, SOIL 20 and Sierra Club filed a Verified Amended Motion for 21 Leave to Supplement Petition For Interlocutory 22 Review; and

Fourth, on January 3, 2020, SOIL and 1 Sierra Club filed a Verified Motion for Leave to File 2 3 Instanter a Reply in Support of Petition for 4 Interlocutory Review. 5 Joint Petitioners oppose the 6 Petition. 7 The Commission has reviewed the Petition, the Motions, the responses, and the record. 8 9 Myself and a few other Commissioners are persuaded by Sierra Club and SOIL arguments that the Joint 10 11 Petitioners' responses to the data requests were not 12 sufficient. 13 Do any of the other Commissioners have anything to say? 14 15 COMMISSIONER SHEAHAN: Should we have a vote on this? 16 17 CHAIRMAN ZALEWSKI: I will vote. I wanted to know if you have a comment on it. 18 19 COMMISSIONER SHEAHAN: I don't have a 20 comment, but I'd like to vote. 21 COMMISSIONER KIMBREL: I thought 22 Commissioner Bocanegra was getting ready to make a

1 comment.

2 COMMISSIONER BOCANEGRA: Yes, I do. Thank 3 you, Commissioner, and thank you, Chairman. 4 I just want to add that I'm in 5 agreement with the Chair in terms of being persuaded by SOIL and Sierra Club's Petition For Interlocutory 6 7 Review. 8 With that said, I just want to add --9 these are my own remarks and my own observations. That, first, I think that the ruling today really 10 11 allows the parties to exchange information and to 12 continue the discovery process. 13 The second thing I will add, that for 14 me, the decision really is based here on whether there was noncompliance with the Data Request. I 15 will make the observation that whatever was produced 16 17 by Dakota, in my opinion, is hearsay. It was a 18 document created specifically for litigation, and for 19 me, the rules of evidence are not suspended in this 20 particular proceeding. 21 So I just want to make clear that 22 that's one of the reasons I'm basing my vote on that.

And the last thing I will add is I do 1 2 believe that SOIL and Sierra have properly triggered 3 the exception under the federal statute that's 4 applicable in this case. So I will leave it at that. Thank 5 6 you. 7 CHAIRMAN ZALEWSKI: If there are no other 8 comments, I will go ahead and call for a vote. 9 COMMISSIONER KIMBREL: Yes, I have a comment, Madam Chair. 10 CHAIRMAN ZALEWSKI: Sure. 11 12 COMMISSIONER KIMBREL: In this docket, the 13 ALJ found that the Dakota Access and Energy Transfer, a crude oil company, are complying with the federal 14 statutory and contractual confidentiality and 15 nondisclosure obligations. The Joint Petitioners 16 17 provided the information sought in SOIL Data Request 1.018, 1.019, 1.022, 1.023, and 1.024 with the 18 19 shippers' names redacted in order for the parties to 20 make a determination of the need for the increased 21 capacity. 22

This information is similar to the

information provided in discovery in Dockets 14-0754 1 and 14-0755 when the Joint Petitioners were found to 2 3 be fit, willing, and able to construct and operate 4 the pipelines which underlie this docket. 5 I agree with the ALJ that the interveners have not demonstrated that the identities 6 7 of the affiliates or the shippers are necessary to make a determination under Section 8-503 of the 8 9 Public Utilities Act, that there is a public need for the project and that the project will serve the 10 11 public convenience and necessity. 12 I also find troubling Section 15-13 13 of the Interstate Commerce Act regarding 14 nondisclosure of shipments and this Commission's 15 failure to directly address the exceptions. I know that Commissioner Bocanegra just mentioned 16 17 it, but I'm not sure which exception she's 18 referring to. 19 CHAIRMAN ZALEWSKI: Anything else? 20 (No response.) 21 CHAIRMAN ZALEWSKI: Okay. I'm going to 22 call the vote, and the vote I'm calling for is to

grant the Petition For Interlocutory Review and the 1 two Motions to Amend, treating the leave to file a 2 3 reply as moot. 4 All those in favor of granting the 5 Petition and treating the leave to file as moot, say 6 aye. 7 (Chorus of ayes.) 8 CHAIRMAN ZALEWSKI: All opposed, say nay. 9 COMMISSIONER KIMBREL: Nay. 10 COMMISSIONER SHEAHAN: Nay. 11 CHAIRMAN ZALEWSKI: The Petition for 12 Interlocutory Review is granted. The ayes have it. 13 The ALJ shall work with the parties 14 to ensure that the information produced by the Data Request is properly handled under the Protective 15 Order in this docket. 16 17 Under our Petitions For Rehearing. Item PR-1 concerns Docket 19-0387, 18 19 which is ComEd's Formula Rate Update and Revenue 20 Requirement Reconciliation. The Attorney General has 21 filed an application for rehearing, to reopen the docket and rehear two issues. 22

1 First, the rate at which unprotected 2 excess deferred income taxes or EDIT are returned to 3 ratepayers; and 4 Second, the inclusion of Projected Plant Additions into rate base for 2019. 5 The Administration Law Judges 6 7 recommend denying the rehearing on this issue noting 8 that the AG references and incorporates arguments 9 that were previously made in briefs and that no new evidence or arguments are presented. 10 Is there any other discussion, 11 12 Commissioners? 13 (No response.) 14 CHAIRMAN ZALEWSKI: Personally, I believe that this is an important matter, figuring out how to 15 correctly refund millions of dollars to ratepayers. 16 17 There's a significant amount of money at stake, and it's important to get it right. We have a 18 19 responsibility to ensure the record is complete. Ιf 20 getting the record complete means that we reopen the 21 decided matter, out of an abundance of caution, I 22 think we should do so. We must ensure that the

record captures all evidence addressing all issues in 1 2 this docket, including any potential additional 3 benefits and methods to get to a shorter period to 4 return the money to ratepayers. For this reason, I'm in favor of 5 granting the Petition For Rehearing. 6 7 I'm going to call the vote if there's no other comments. All those --8 9 COMMISSIONER KIMBREL: Madam Chair, I would disagree with you and don't think that there is a 10 11 reason to grant the Petition For Rehearing. We heard 12 Oral Argument in this docket, and I think that the 13 records stand for itself and that we could conserve 14 Commission resources and move on from this. 15 CHAIRMAN ZALEWSKI: Anyone else? 16 (No response.) CHAIRMAN ZALEWSKI: All those in favor of 17 18 denying the Petition For Rehearing, say aye. 19 (Chorus of ayes.) 20 CHAIRMAN ZALEWSKI: I'm a nay. So the four 21 ayes have it, and the Petition For Rehearing is denied. 22

Item PR-2 concerns Docket 19-0436, 1 2 which is Ameren's Rate MAP-P Modernization Action 3 Plan, the Annual Formula Rate Update and Revenue 4 Requirement Reconciliation. The Attorney General has filed an application for rehearing to rehear two 5 6 issues: 7 First, the amortization of Excess 8 Deferred Income Taxes or EDIT; and 9 Second is the treatment of 10 construction-work-in-progress-related accumulated deferred income taxes. 11 12 The Administration Law Judge 13 recommends denying rehearing on this issue noting 14 that the AG references and incorporates arguments that were previously made in briefs and that no new 15 16 evidence or argument is presented. 17 Is there any discussion from Commissioners? 18 (No response.) 19 20 CHAIRMAN ZALEWSKI: Without restating 21 everything, for the reasons I stated in 19-0387, I'm 22 in favor of granting this Petition For Rehearing as

well. 1 2 So all those in favor of denying the 3 Petition For Rehearing, say aye. 4 (Chorus of ayes.) 5 CHAIRMAN ZALEWSKI: All opposed, say nay. 6 I'm a nay. 7 The four ayes have it, and the 8 Petition For Rehearing is denied. 9 Under Other Business Items. 10 Item O-1 concerns the Commission's 11 2019 Annual Report to the Governor and the General 12 Assembly on Electricity, Gas, Water, and Sewer 13 Utilities. The Annual Report is required by Section 4-304 of the Public Utilities Act. The Report 14 includes a review of the Commission's 2019 activities 15 and the state of the Illinois utility industry in 16 2019, among other topics. 17 18 Are there any objections to approving 19 the Report? 20 (No response.) 21 CHAIRMAN ZALEWSKI: Hearing none, the 22 Report is approved.

1 The Report should be put up on the 2 website, so I encourage anyone who would like to read 3 it to check it out under our ICC website under 4 Reports. 5 Item 0-2 concerns approval of Batches, Contracts, and Confirmations under the 6 7 Illinois Adjustable Block Program. 8 Are there any objections to approving 9 the Program Administrator's Submissions? 10 (No response.) CHAIRMAN ZALEWSKI: Hearing none, the 11 12 Submissions are approved. 13 Item O-3 concerns approval of 14 Batches, Contracts, and Confirmations under the 15 Illinois Solar For All Program. 16 Are there any objections to approving 17 the Program Administrator's Submissions? 18 (No response.) 19 CHAIRMAN ZALEWSKI: Hearing none, the 20 Submissions are approved. 21 Item O-4 concerns the Commission's 22 request for rehearing to FERC on FERC consolidated

EL 16-49-000 and EL 18-178-000, which is the Calpine 1 2 Corporation and other parties versus PJM 3 Interconnection, LLC. 4 Are there any objections to approving 5 the Submission of the Commission's Request For Rehearing? 6 7 (No response.) 8 CHAIRMAN ZALEWSKI: Hearing none, the 9 Commission's Request for Rehearing is approved. 10 This concludes our Public Utilities 11 Agenda. 12 Judge Teague-Kingsley, do you have 13 any matters to come before the Commission today? JUDGE TEAGUE-KINGSLEY: No, Madam Chairman. 14 15 CHAIRMAN ZALEWSKI: Do the Commissioners have any other business to discuss? 16 17 (No response.) 18 CHAIRMAN ZALEWSKI: I just wanted to 19 mention that last Friday was our intern, Salhah 20 Elaneizi's, last day. She's moving on with her 21 studies. And I just want to express my gratitude for 22 all her diligent and dedicated work. She's not here

1	today.	She was	a great	resource	, and we	are goir	ng to
2	miss he	r.					
3			If ther	e's no oth	ner busi	ness and	
4	without	objectio	n, the	meeting st	cands ad	journed.	
5	Thank y	ou.					
6			(WHE	REUPON, th	ne above	-entitled	d
7			matt	er was ad	journed.)	
8							
9							
10							
11							
12							
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							